

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/533,177	Applicant(s) ILYUSHENKO ET AL.	
	Examiner MICHAEL ABOAGYE	Art Unit 1793	

All Participants:

(1) MICHAEL ABOAGYE

(2) BRIAN L. STENDER

Date of Interview: 5 March 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
9 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/M. A./
 Examiner, Art Unit 1793

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:30 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 9 and 10 were discussed, and agreement was reached between the Examiner and Applicant on the amendments reflected in the Examiner's Amendment. Said amended claims appear to better clarify the method steps of the claimed invention.